



# I-MXD-Active

## RAPPORT PÉRIODIQUE

### Informations périodiques sur le produit pour des produits financiers qui promeuvent des caractéristiques environnementales ou sociales

**Dénomination du produit : I-MXD-Active**

I-MXD-Active investit à 100% dans Triodos Impact Mixed Fund - Offensive, géré par Triodos Investment Management (ISIN-code : LU1956011784).

Les informations mises à disposition sont établies au niveau du fonds sous-jacent.

**Identifiant d'entité juridique (LEI) : 529900UVBCD39GT3X913**

**Date de la version : Avril 2024**

*Les informations relatives à la durabilité qui sont reprises dans le présent document sur le produit ont été établies par Vivium au mieux de ses possibilités. A cet effet, Vivium est néanmoins tributaire des informations relatives aux différents aspects de durabilité rendues disponibles par les gestionnaires d'actifs. La législation imposant la mise à disposition de ces informations n'est toutefois entrée en application qu'à compter du 1er janvier 2023. Les informations reprises dans le présent document ont par conséquent été établies sur la base des informations déjà disponibles et peuvent être incomplètes. Les informations pourront dès lors encore être modifiées et/ou complétées à l'avenir.*

**Product name:** Triodos Impact Mixed Fund - Offensive  
**Legal entity identifier:** 549300F21J6UWEJ11S34

## Sustainable investment objective

**Sustainable investment** means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of **environmentally sustainable economic activities**. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

### Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?

<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with an environmental objective: 45.1%</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> </ul>	<input type="checkbox"/> It <b>promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics</b> and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of __% of sustainable investments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> with a social objective</li> </ul>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It made <b>sustainable investments with a social objective: 54.3%</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> It promoted E/S characteristics, <b>but did not make any sustainable investments</b>



### To what extent was the sustainable investment objective of this financial product met?

The sustainable investment objectives of the sub-fund are to:

- make money work for environmental and social change
- contribute to the transition to an economy within planetary boundaries
- contribute to the transition to an economy where all humans can enjoy a prosperous life.

The (environmental) objective to contribute to the transition to an economy within planetary boundaries is addressed in the following Triodos transition themes:

- Sustainable Food and Agriculture (feed the world sustainably)
- Sustainable Mobility and Infrastructure (be mobile, live and work in a sustainable way)
- Renewable Resources (limit the use of finite resources)
- Circular Economy (make use of resources as efficiently and long as possible)
- Innovation for Sustainability (innovate for a sustainable future)

The (social) objective to contribute to the transition to an economy where all humans can enjoy a prosperous life is addressed in the following Triodos transition themes:

- Sustainable Food and Agriculture (feed the world sustainably)
- Prosperous and Healthy People (become and stay healthy and happy)
- Social Inclusion and Empowerment (create a society in which all people can fully participate)
- Innovation for Sustainability (innovate for a sustainable future)

**Sustainability indicators** measure how the sustainable objectives of this financial product are attained.

Through investments that contribute to the Sustainable Mobility and Infrastructure, Renewable Resources and Innovation for Sustainability transition themes, the sub-fund contributed to the following environmental objectives as set out in article 9 of the EU Taxonomy:

- climate change mitigation
- climate change adaptation

The sub-fund realised its three sustainable investment objectives by investing solely in listed equities that actively contribute to at least one transition theme.

As of 1 January 2024, Triodos Investment Management has aligned the sustainability objectives of the (potential) investments for the sub-funds of Triodos SICAV I with five transitions instead of the former seven transition themes. The five interlinked transitions for transformation need to take place to achieve our vision for a prosperous life for people on a thriving planet. These transitions are the food transition, resource transition, energy transition, societal transition and wellbeing transition. Every (potential) investment is assessed in-depth on its thematic fit with the Triodos transitions. Based on the products and/or services offered, the investments in the investment portfolio have been reclassified to the five new transitions. The investment strategy followed by the sub-fund remains unchanged. More details about our vision and the five transition themes can be found [here](#).

#### How did the sustainability indicators perform?

In order to measure the attainment of its sustainable investment objectives, the sub-fund measures its exposure to the Triodos transition themes as a percentage of portfolio holdings that contribute to at least one transition theme and as a percentage of assets under management allocated to each theme. Since each Triodos transition theme is linked to one or more UN SDGs, the sub-fund also reports its absolute and relative contribution to environmental (SDGs 6, 7, 11, 12, 13 and 15) or social (SDGs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10 and 16) SDGs based on external data performing a comprehensive assessment of company products and services that affect SDGs. The contribution is measured by SDG scores, which range on a scale from -10 (products/services having a significant obstructing impact to the SDGs) to 10 (products/services having a significant contributing impact to the SDGs). The sub-fund also reports its carbon footprint relative to its benchmark (scope 1 and 2 emissions). The benchmark of the sub-fund (used for performance measurement only) is MSCI World Index (75%), iBoxx Euro Corporates Overall Total Return (15%) and iBoxx Euro Eurozone Sovereign 1-10 Total Return (10%).

In 2023, all investments contributed to at least one of the transition themes. All seven transition themes were represented in the sub-fund with the largest exposure at year-end to Prosperous and Healthy People (23.4% of net assets).

The contribution of the sub-fund to the SDGs was significantly higher than that of the benchmark. The sub-fund particularly contributed to SDG3, SDG7 and SDG13.

	Sub-fund	Benchmark
Environmental SDGs	2.3	-0.4
Social SDGs	2.7	0.2

Source: ISS SDG Product & Services Score as of 31 December 2023

The carbon footprint of the sub-fund was lower than that of the benchmark:

	Sub-fund	Benchmark
Carbon footprint (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	1,343	2,880

Source: ISS as of 31 December 2023

The performance of the indicators is a consequence of the investment strategy of the sub-funds and not a result of targeting specific indicator results. The data used to calculate the performance of the sustainability indicators is derived by the Management Company from companies directly and/or via data providers and have not been subject to an assurance by an auditor or a review by a third party.

### ...and compared to previous periods?

Compared to 2022, the contribution of the sub-fund to the transition themes has changed as follows:

	31 December 2023	31 December 2022
Social Inclusion and Empowerment	17.8%	18.4%
Prosperous and Healthy People	23.4%	25.5%
Sustainable Food and Agriculture	6.0%	6.6%
Renewable Resources	9.3%	7.8%
Circular Economy	4.9%	3.2%
Innovation for Sustainability	17.7%	17.3%
Sustainable Mobility and Infrastructure	20.3%	20.2%
Cash	0.6%	1.1%

The contribution of the sub-fund to the Environmental SDGs as at 31 December 2023 was higher than as at 31 December 2022, while the contribution of the sub-fund to the Social SDGs as at 31 December 2023 was lower than as at 31 December 2022:

	31 December 2023	31 December 2022
Environmental SDGs	2.3	1.8
Social SDGs	2.7	3.1

The lower contribution was due to a decrease in SDG scores for some existing issuers in the portfolio as well as a lower exposure to some existing issuers with positive SDG scores.

The relative carbon footprint of the sub-fund was higher as at 31 December 2023 than as at 31 December 2022:

	31 December 2023	31 December 2022
Carbon footprint (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent)	-53.4%	-59.4%

**Principal adverse impacts** are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

### How did the sustainable investments not cause significant harm to any sustainable investment objective?

To select only those investments that do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective, every (new) investment has been assessed on its alignment with the Minimum Standards or the Sovereign Framework (depending on the type of instrument). If an issuer was found to cause significant harm to any of those standards, it was excluded from investment. All applicable principal adverse impact indicators (PAIs) on sustainability factors have been taken into account in the investment process. The Minimum Standards, that are aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, also set out the minimum standards for employee relations, remuneration, taxes and other corporate governance topics that investees must meet in order to be eligible for investment.

### How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

With the introduction of the SFDR Delegated Regulation as from 1 January 2023, PAIs have been introduced to establish standards for reporting on principal adverse impacts. The

process for taking into account PAIs as applied from 1 January 2023 is detailed below. In 2023, all investments of the sub-fund were screened to avoid or reduce principal adverse impacts when investing.

**For instruments issued by companies (e.g. shares, corporate bonds, impact bonds):**

The PAIs have been taken into account in the second phase of the investment process (negative impact, consisting of three steps), both as part of the initial screening and the continuous monitoring of investments:

1. Negative screening: Every (potential) investee has been screened for involvement in controversial business activities. If an investee exceeded the threshold below, “high concern” was concluded, and the investee was excluded from investment.

Triodos controversial activity	SFDR PAI	Threshold
Controversial weapons	14 (Exposure to controversial weapons)	Any involvement
Fossil fuels	4 (Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector)	GICS Energy Sector classification (i.e. companies active in the fossil fuel sector)
Hazardous substances	E9 (Investments in companies producing chemicals)	Pesticides – production, distribution (>5% revenue) Persistent Organic Pollutants – production, use (>0% revenue)

2. Controversies: Every (potential) investee has been assessed on violations of UN Global Compact and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on a case-by-case basis considering violations in the last three years. Per case, the verification of information, severity and company response were considered to conclude if a case was low, medium or high concern. In case a company had been involved in severe and/or frequent violations without taking credible remediation measures, “high concern” was concluded, and the investee was excluded from investment.

Triodos assessment	SFDR PAI	Threshold
Controversies	10 (Violations of UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines) 11 (Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines) S7 (Incidents of discrimination) S14 (Number of severe human rights issues and incidents) S16 (Cases of insufficient action with breaches of anti-corruption) S17 (Convictions and fines for anti-corruption)	Significant violations of UN Global Compact or OECD Guidelines within the last 3 years

3. ESG assessment: By combining our proprietary materiality map, highlighting material ESG issues per industry, with an understanding of the company’s actual business activities, the risk that an investee inflicts negative impacts on these ESG issues has been assessed. Based on the risk classification (low, medium or high risk), the company must have met additional requirements such as having sustainability programs, reporting, certifications, policies or practices in place. In case a company did not meet these requirements but was in transition, it was flagged for engagement. The PAIs were considered on an absolute basis, over time and compared to five peers (where relevant). Taking all of the above into consideration, a company’s practices were assessed as low, medium or high concern. If “high concern” was concluded, the investee was excluded from investment.

Triodos			
ESG issue		SFDR PAI	Threshold
Environmental	Climate change	<b>1</b> (GHG emissions) <b>2</b> (Carbon footprint) <b>3</b> (GHG intensity) <b>5</b> (Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production) <b>6</b> (Energy consumption intensity) <b>E4</b> (Companies without carbon reduction initiatives) <b>E5</b> (Energy consumption per non-renewable energy source)	High risk for climate change without GHG emissions reporting and Paris-aligned reduction targets; Significant controversies
	Water	<b>E6</b> (Water usage and recycling) <b>E7</b> (Investments in companies with-out water management policies) <b>E8</b> (Exposure to areas of high water stress)	High risk for water scarcity without activities to reduce freshwater use; Significant controversies
	Waste	<b>E13</b> (Non-recycled waste ratio)	Significant controversies
	Pollution	<b>8</b> (Emissions to water) <b>9</b> (Hazardous and radioactive waste ratio) <b>E2</b> (Emissions of air pollutants)	Significant controversies
	Ecosystems	<b>7</b> (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity) <b>E11</b> (Companies without sustainable land/agriculture practice) <b>E12</b> (Companies without sustainable oceans/seas practice) <b>E15</b> (Deforestation)	High risk for human rights or conflict minerals without relevant policy and practices; Significant controversies
Social	Human rights & community	<b>S9</b> (Lack of human rights policy) <b>S10</b> (Lack of human rights due diligence)	High risk for human rights or conflict minerals without relevant policy and practices; Significant controversies
	Labour practices	<b>S1</b> (Companies without workplace accident prevention policies) <b>S2</b> (Rate of accidents) <b>S3</b> (Numbers of days lost to injuries, accidents, fatalities or illness) <b>S4</b> (Lack of supplier code of conduct) <b>12</b> (Unadjusted gender pay gap) <b>S5</b> (Lack of grievance/complaints mechanism for employee matters) <b>S12</b> (Operations and suppliers at significant risk of child labour) <b>S13</b> (Operations and suppliers at significant risk of forced labour)	High risk for labour rights without relevant policies, targets and monitoring; Significant controversies
	Supply chain management	<b>S12</b> (Operations and suppliers at significant risk of child labour) <b>S13</b> (Operations and suppliers at significant risk of forced labour)	High risk for labour rights in the supply chain without relevant policies, targets and monitoring; Significant controversies
Governance	Corporate governance	<b>13</b> (Board gender diversity) <b>S8</b> (Excessive CEO pay ratio)	CEO pay ratio exceeding 100:1 and size-adjusted absolute CEO pay exceeding €2.5 mln in the last 5 years; Significant controversies
	Business ethics	<b>S15</b> (Lack of anti-corruption and anti-bribery policies) <b>S6</b> (Insufficient whistleblower protection)	Significant controversies

### For instruments issued by sovereigns (e.g. impact bonds):

The PAIs have been taken into account in the second phase of the investment process (negative impact), both as part of the initial screening and the continuous monitoring of investments:

Triodos ESG issue	SFDR PAI	Threshold
Environmental	15 (GHG intensity)	Ratification of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Paris Convention</li><li>• Framework Convention on Climate Change</li><li>• Kyoto Protocol</li></ul>
Governance	16 (Investee countries subject to social violations)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ratification of international controversial weapons conventions</li><li>• United Nations and European Union sanctions</li></ul>

### *Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?*

All investments made in 2023 were aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights. Prior to being selected for investment and for the entire duration of the investment, (potential) investees are screened for compliance with the Minimum Standards. The Minimum Standards are aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, including the principles and rights set out in the eight fundamental conventions identified in the Declaration of the International Labour Organisation on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the International Bill of Human Rights.

Alignment with the Minimum Standards is assessed in the second phase of the investment process through the check on controversies and the ESG assessment, based on data from external sources and additional in-house desk research (see above the answer to question 'How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?'). In case of significant violations of the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (i.e. having severe impact, recurrence of incidents, lack of risk management and inadequate remediation measures), the investee is excluded from investment.



### How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The sub-fund considered all PAIs on sustainability factors referred to in the tables above. Every potential investment has been assessed using the relevant policies and if an issuer was found to cause significant harm, it was excluded from investment. PAIs have been continuously monitored to ensure the sub-fund remained compliant with our policies.

In 2023, continuous monitoring led to four companies being excluded from the Triodos investment universe. These are Royal Philips (due to controversies on a medical device), Power Integrations (due to irresponsible tax behaviour), Starbucks (due to labour rights violations) and Thames Water Utilities (due to weak corporate governance).

The engagement efforts with investee companies aimed at minimising principal adverse impacts were focused on the PAIs related to greenhouse gas emissions, waste and labour practices.

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial during the reference period which is: 2023



## What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
RELX	Other information service activities n.e.c.	2.9	Great Britain
NVIDIA	Manufacture of electronic components	2.9	United States
EssilorLuxottica	Manufacture of medical and dental instruments and supplies	2.8	France
Vestas Wind Systems	Manufacture of engines and turbines, except aircraft, vehicle and cycle engines	2.6	Denmark
Novo Nordisk	Manufacture of basic pharmaceutical products	2.5	Denmark
Elevance Health	Non-life insurance	2.3	United States
Deutsche Telekom	Wireless telecommunications activities	2.3	Germany
Advanced Drainage Systems	Manufacture of builders' ware of plastic	2.2	United States
Intuitive Surgical	Manufacture of medical and dental instruments and supplies	2.1	United States
Danone	Operation of dairies and cheese making	2.0	France
Acciona Energías Renovables	Construction of utility projects for electricity and telecommunications	2.0	Spain
Procter & Gamble	Manufacture of household and sanitary goods and of toilet requisites	2.0	United States
Adobe Systems	Other software publishing	1.9	United States
Sonova	Manufacture of irradiation, electromedical and electrotherapeutic equipment	1.9	Switzerland
Assa Abloy	Security systems service activities	1.8	Sweden


Source (Sector): NACE5



## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

### What was the asset allocation?



99.4% of the net assets of the sub-fund were invested in sustainable investments as per 31 December 2023.



To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

**Transitional activities are economic activities** for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- **turnover** reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies
- **capital expenditure** (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy.
- **operational expenditure** (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

### In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Several new investments were done over the year 2023. The investments have been done across sectors related to:

- Wired telecommunications activities
- Processing and preserving of meat
- Manufacture of other chemical products n.e.c.
- Manufacture of other electrical equipment
- General public administration activities
- Manufacture of wearing apparel
- Other monetary intermediation
- Distribution of electricity
- Transmission of electricity
- Manufacture of motor vehicles
- Renting and operating of own or leased real estate
- Manufacture of other pumps and compressors



### To what extent were sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Through investments that contribute to the Sustainable Mobility and Infrastructure, Renewable Resources and Innovation for Sustainability transition themes, the sub-fund contributes to the following environmental objectives as set out in article 9 of the EU Taxonomy:

- climate change mitigation (10.8%)
- climate change adaptation (0.1%)

As per 31 December 2022, 10.9% of the investments were Taxonomy aligned. The sub-fund had exposure to impact bonds issued by (sub-)sovereigns.

The Taxonomy percentages shown in the graphs below have not been subject to an assurance by an auditor or a review by a third party.

### Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy?<sup>1</sup>

- Yes
- In fossil gas
- In nuclear energy
- No


<sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

**Enabling activities** directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.


**Transitional activities are economic activities** for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and that have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

1. Taxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds\*



2. Taxonomy-alignment of investments excluding sovereign bonds\*



\* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

### What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

As at 31 December 2023, the sub-fund invested 8.1% of its investments in enabling activities and 0.1% in transitional activities.

### How did the percentage of investments aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Compared to 2022, the taxonomy-alignment of investments of the sub-fund was significantly higher. This is among others due to an increase in available data regarding companies in the portfolio of the sub-fund.

#### Taxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds

	31 December 2023	31 December 2022
Turnover	10.9%	6.7%
CapEx	7.9%	5.7%
OpEx	6.7%	0.0%

#### Taxonomy-alignment of investments excluding sovereign bonds

	31 December 2023	31 December 2022
Turnover	11.4%	6.9%
CapEx	9.4%	6.8%
OpEx	7.9%	0.0%



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under the EU Taxonomy.



### What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that were not aligned with the EU Taxonomy was 34.2% as at 31 December 2023.

We do not steer on the percentage of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy. The Triodos transition themes, which are used for the positive selection of the investments, encompass more environmentally sustainable economic activities than currently covered by the EU Taxonomy. Presently, the EU Taxonomy focusses on certain sectors only, whereas the Triodos transition themes are sector-agnostic. The percentage of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy is likely to decrease over time as more economic activities are added to the Taxonomy.



### What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

The share of sustainable investments contributing to a social objective was 54.3% as at 31 December 2023.



### What investments were included under “not sustainable”, what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

The sub-fund held 0.6% of its net assets in cash and cash equivalents as ancillary liquidity. Cash and cash equivalents did not affect the delivery of the sustainable investment objectives of the sub-fund on a continuous basis. Firstly, they were used - in limited proportion - to support the proper liquidity management of the sub-fund. Secondly, the Management Company assessed on a regular basis whether counterparties for cash and cash equivalents comply with the four pillars of the UN Global Compact, using data from a third-party provider. These four pillars consist of 1) human rights, 2) labour rights 3) environment and 4) anti-corruption. Also, the Management Company assessed its counterparties' policies and sustainability performance.



### What actions have been taken to attain the sustainable investment objective during the reference period?

Triodos Impact Mixed Fund – Offensive improved its positive impact profile further in 2023. We exchanged some lower conviction equity holdings (Croda International, adidas, Toho and Persol Holdings) for high impact positions: AT&T (telecommunication), Enphase Energy (solar energy equipment), National Grid (utility networks), Darling Ingredients (reuse of waste material) and Xylem (water management equipment).

Within the fixed income part of the Mixed Fund – Offensive we also increase the impact profile of the holdings. Two positions were sold because of a negative assessment of business practices or financial risk: Fresenius Medical Care and Royal Philips. New issuers were added: Landesbank Hessen-Thueringen (Green bond), British Telecommunications, Vonovia (Social Housing), government of Austria (Green bond), Saxony Anhalt (Social bond), Castilla y León (Social bond) and RELX (business and scientific information).

The fixed income investments are still fully classified as impactful as there are no regular government bonds included. At year-end all fixed income investments of Triodos Impact Mixed Fund – Offensive were thematic and in line with at least one of the seven Triodos sustainable transition themes.



### **How did this financial product perform compared to the reference sustainable benchmark?**

No reference benchmark has been designated for the purpose of attaining the sustainable investment objectives.